



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/001,643	12/31/1997	RUSS L. ALBERT	1431/USW0391	6919	
20350	7590 01/29/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FLC	RCADERO CENTER OOR ISCO, CA 94111-3834		HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
SANTRANC	13CO, CA 34111-3634		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2178		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A Bradian Ma	I Amelia and a			
•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O		
Office Astron Community		09/001,643 ALBERT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cong-Lac Huynh	2178			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a epply within the statutory minimum of th nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	4 November 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow			rits is		
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	S.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
·	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- ·				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
121	If approved, corrected drawings are required in The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
·—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	LAdminer.				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 H S C	8 110(a) ₂ (d) or (f)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	igh phonty under 55 0.0.0	3 113(a)-(d) or (i).			
a)		ents have been received				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E	riority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage	•		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	·				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			cation).		
15) 🗌 .	 The translation of the foreign language particle. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	- ·				
Attachmer		🗖				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/001,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: reconsideration filed on 11/4/02 to the application filed on 12/31/97.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2178

5. Claims 1-4, 6-11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand et al. (US Pat No. 5,710,900, 1/20/98) in view of Walker et al. (US Pat No. 6,064,987, 5/16/00, filed 10/7/97).

Regarding independent claim 1, Anand discloses:

- a host site connected to at least one user computer terminal via an on-line interconnection, said host site comprising a database for storing the sale information and inventory information, and a processor terminal connected to the on-line interconnection for receiving a user report request and sending reports created in response to the received requests and additional on-line user input (col 1, lines 5-7, 54-67; col 2, lines 1-4; col 2, lines 14-25, 31-34, 39-41; col 9, lines 16-32; col 6, lines 43-67; col 7, lines 1-13, figures 6, 10-11)
- a view manager arranged to generate a set of function commands which can be selectively launched by user input to graphically display a list of available views each of which contains a report relating to particular user information stored in a database, create a new view and report, and edit a view and report (col 2, lines 14-25, 31-34, 39-41, figures 6, 10-11)

Anand does not disclose relating data maintained independently from the host site and input by a user with the user's billing and inventory data for displayed as part of a requested report.

Waller discloses relating data maintained independently from the host site and input by a user with the user's billing and inventory data for displayed as part of a requested report (figure 11, user inputs the PIN number for authorizing transaction before selecting

Art Unit: 2178

7// Ochtror (Marrison: 00/001;0

billing plan; figure 12, the statement as a report relates the PIN number to the customer name and account number, the input user for selecting payment, and the user's billing and inventory data as part of the report where the PIN is the data *maintained independently from the host site*). It was well known that the PIN (Personal Identification Number) is the *data related to a person's account number* used to recognize a person or a customer during the authorization process when that person *enters his/her PIN number*. In figure 4, #12 includes the controller processor and the databases for billings and transactions. Therefore, #12 represents for the host site that takes care the transaction billings of purchasers. The PIN database is not included in #12. Therefore, the PIN is the data *maintained independently* from the host site. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Walker into Anand for easily generating an on-line report containing user billing and inventory information and for increasing convenience for users to access to billing on-line.

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Anand discloses that the view editor is arranged to receive and implement user report editing instructions (col 2, lines 39-41; col 9, lines 33-57).

Regarding claim 3, the system of Anand and Walker disclose a graphical user interface for the user to interact with the system (Anand, figures 6-10; col 2, lines 2-14; Walker, figure 11).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 4, Anand discloses the aggregating method such as add, average, min, max, count to disclose the change in the Smart Report (col 17, lines 65-67; col 18, lines 1-50). Anand also discloses the drill down command (col 9, lines 16-32, col 10, lines 50-67).

Regarding claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, the system of Anand discloses an Internet connection and web browser (col 2, lines 15-25, client and server system, col 4, lines 53-57, Reports in HTML format imply that the system is connected to the internet so that a web document can be sent over).

Regarding claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Anand discloses that the graphical interface comprises Java applets and an HTML page (col 9, lines 16-57).

Claims 8-11 are the method for generating an on-line report performed on the system of claims 1-4, therefore rejected under the same rationale.

6. Claims 5, 12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand in view of Walker as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Melchione et al. (US Pat No. 5,930,764, 7/27/99).

Regarding claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Anand and Walker do not disclose that the report filter is arranged to receive user define value for use as threshold value

Art Unit: 2178

to selectively control which database information will be included in a report. Melchione discloses the three-tier-hierarchy provides the "key" at each of the household, customer, and customer levels that satisfy user criteria for queries, views, and reports (col 16, lines 45-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Melchione into Anand and Walker because Melchione provides the criteria as a threshold to select which data from the database to be included in the report.

Claim 12 is the method to be performed on the system of claim 5, and therefore rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/4/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 8, Applicants argue that Walker does not teach relating "data maintained independently from the host site and input by a user with the user's billing and inventory data for display as part of a requested report" **since** the Office Action states that figure 11 of Walker discloses the text instruction "ENTER YOUR PIN NUMBER TO AUTHORIZE TRANSACTION" and figure 12 of Walker discloses "the statement as a report relates the PIN number to the customer name and account number, the input user for selecting payment, and the user's billing and

Art Unit: 2178

inventory data as part of the report where the PIN is the data maintained independently from the host site ..." **but** the PIN number is nowhere defined in Walker, and nowhere does Walker teach any use of the PIN (Remark, pages 2-3).

Examiner agrees.

However, it was well known that the PIN (Personal Identification Number) is the data related to a person's account number used to recognize a person or a customer during the authorization process when that person enters his/her PIN number.

Therefore, it is not necessary to define what the PIN is or to teach the use of the PIN number.

Applicants argue that Walker does not teach relating "data maintained independently from the host site and input by a user with the user's billing and inventory data for display as part of a requested report" since figures 3 and 4 of Walker as cited do not show all components of a host site, nor the PIN number data is not included in one of the pictured databases (Remark, page 2, last paragraph to page 3, 1st paragraph).

Examiner agrees.

In figure 4, #12 includes the controller processor and the databases for billings and transactions. Therefore, #12 represents for the host site that takes care the transaction billing of purchasers. The PIN database is not included in #12. Therefore, PIN data is maintained independently from the host site.

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hentila al. (US Pat No. 6,044,259, 3/28/00, filed 5/9/97).

Shepley (US Pat No. 6,024,281, 2/15/00, filed 9/22/97).

Hunkins et al. (US Pat No. 6,141,663, 10/31/00, filed 3/18/94).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-0432. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 707-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9000.

clh January 25, 2003

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100